



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/796,977 | 03/11/2004 | Sam S. Tsai | 19507-003 | 4055 |
| 60951 | 7590 | 07/24/2008 | | |
| WPAT, PC | | | EXAMINER | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS | | | FINDLEY, CHRISTOPHER G | |
| 2030 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1300 | | | | |
| IRVINE, CA 92614 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2621 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/24/2008 | PAPER |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/796,977 | TSAI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY | 2621 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY. (3) _____.

(2) Anthony King (Reg. No. 49,063). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.7, 16 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ye et al. (US 20060146937 A1) and Turaga et al. (US 7023923 B2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative requested suggestions from the Examiner regarding possible claim amendments. The Examiner suggested that the Applicant's representative discuss any perceived differences between the instant invention and the prior art with the Applicants in order to amend the claims according to their wishes.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required